"TWEET THE PRESS"

Managing Effective Public Communication in the Wake of a School Crisis

CARL JOHNSON BISHOP, COLVIN, JOHNSON & KENT, LLC 1910 FIRST AVENUE NORTH BIRMINGHAM, AL 35203 (205) 251-2881 CARLJOHNSON@BISHOPCOLVIN.COM



DANA W. VANDIVER ALABAMA ASSN. OF SCHOOL BOARDS 43 SOUTH JACKSON STREET MONTGOMERY, AL 36104 (334) 386-9436 DVANDIVER@ALABAMASCHOOLBOARDS.ORG



AS THE BODY COUNT CONTINUES TO RISE...



Mayor Vaughn: [to reporter] I'm pleased and happy to repeat the news that we have, in fact, caught and killed a large predator that supposedly injured some bathers. But, as you see, it's a beautiful day, the beaches are open and people are having a wonderful time. Amity, as you know, means "friendship".

COMMUNICATION CRISIS CREATORS

- School Shootings
- Employee/Student Sex Scandals
- Employees Mocking, Mishandling, or Abusing Students
- Student on Student Violence, Brawls, Hazings, etc.
- Lawsuits, Unfavorable Court Rulings
- Bus Accidents/Serious Sports Injuries/Student Deaths
- Weather Crises and Aftermath
- Outrageous Behavior by "Rogue" Employees
- Termination, Indictment, Controversial Departure of Key School System Leaders
- Official Mismanagement Determinations/Unfavorable Accreditation, Academic Performance Reports

COMMON DENOMINATORS

All have happened (and will continue to happen)

All will trigger immediate and intensive media coverage

All require an appropriate public response

All have legal implications

POTENTIAL LEGAL COMPLICATIONS CAUSED BY ILL-ADVISED PUBLIC STATEMENTS

Defamation suits (public statements asserting or implying misconduct, dereliction, incompetence, criminal acts, etc.)

- Statement made by a board member in response to an unfavorable financial audit: "There's no way that this audit is correct...those clowns spent less time reviewing our records than it takes me to balance my checkbook!"
- Disqualification of board members from participating in related personnel proceedings
 - Statement made by a board member in advance of a termination hearing based on alleged sexual relationship between a teacher and a student: "Oh, he'll get his hearing, alright, but there's no way he'll be back in any Sinless City school as long as I'm on the Board."

- Legal challenges to termination or other discipline based on predetermination of guilt and/or violation of "liberty interest" (damage to future employment opportunities)
 - See example 2, above.
- Unwitting admission of fault/liability
 - Statement made by a transportation coordinator following a bus accident caused by bad brakes: "We've only got one mechanic to check brakes on 50 busses; he does the best he can to meet his inspection schedule, but he's only one man."

Denial of responsibility that only reassigns it (finger pointing)

- Statement by principal after student with a gun enters building through side door with defective locking mechanism: "I've reported the lock problem to the maintenance department at least three times this year – maybe now they'll take these reports seriously."
- Conflicting statements by different (or the same) board representative(s) (creates credibility problems in subsequent legal proceedings)
 - Statement by maintenance supervisor in response to principal's statement above: "We keep a very accurate log of service requests; we don't have any record of those requests being made."

Improper disclosure of confidential information

 Statement by administrator following a highly publicized (and, of course, videoed) brawl in an unsupervised classroom: "Unfortunately, the teacher was in the teacher's lounge at the time because she had just experienced some sort of seizure or psychiatric issue. We hope that she'll make a full recovery but we'll carefully evaluate her medical and mental condition before making any decision about whether and when she will return to work."

Loss of legal defenses associated with official board deliberation or action

 Statement to media by board member in response to presentation of negative accreditation report, but outside of the meeting setting: "It's clear to me that our superintendent has not provided the kind of educational leadership needed to meet accreditation standards, and I haven't seen any evidence that he has the ability to do so."

RESPONDING TO LAWSUITS AND COURT RULINGS

- Proceed with caution: What you say or post can and will be used against you by lawyers and sometimes by courts
- Judges pay attention to extemporaneous public statements and postings, probably because they are unguarded and uncoached
- Use a prepared statement in lieu of a "live" response to the filing of a lawsuit; avoid the perfunctory "no comment" response
- Never publicly criticize the judge's integrity or competence; you will face the same judge again

BENEFITS OF PREPLANNED COMMUNICATION/RESPONSE PROTOCOL

Minimizes public anxiety/overreaction

Enhances the effectiveness of the system's operational response to the crisis

Promotes long-term public confidence in the school system

Builds credibility and positive media relations

Lowers liability exposure

ELEMENTS OF THE PROCESS

Identify available communication methods and resources (e.g., traditional news media outlets; schoolcast; e-blast; listserv vehicles; social media)

Determine relative suitability of various communication tools for particular circumstances (nature of message, time sensitivity, intended audience, etc.)

Assign designated staff members to particular functions, communication platforms and establish chain of command for approval (Crisis Communication Team)

ESTABLISH PUBLIC COMMUNICATION PRIORITIES

- "Do no harm" (i.e., don't say or do anything to cause confusion, invite negative speculation, or heighten anxiety)
- Convey clear, accurate, and current factual information to parents and the public; update as necessary; say no more than is necessary
- Reaffirm ongoing commitment to student safety and welfare
- Pledge to fully examine circumstances surrounding or leading to the crisis and to take feasible steps to prevent its recurrence
- Be proactive when incident likely to trigger public/media interest occurs (get ahead of the story)

UNDERSTAND MEDIA PRIORITIES AND HOW THEY DIFFER FROM BOARD GOALS

- Reporters want facts that can be presented from a "human interest" angle, often with drama, pathos, scandal, etc. to add spice to the story; boards want the public to be reassured—not alarmed—by the facts
- Reporters are typically driven by deadlines and "journalists' scoop syndrome"—competition to be the first reporter out of the gate with the story; Boards need time to develop a complete factual picture in order to effectively address the situation
- Reporters tend to focus on what went wrong and who is to blame; so do boards, but boards must also devote attention to preventing a recurrence of the event—a more complex and tedious process that ordinarily extends beyond the typical news cycle

FIND MIDDLE GROUND WITH THE MEDIA

- Respect the media's role in serving the public, but control the message and limit "hype" by issuing prepared press releases or public statements
- Use live interviews and press conferences when necessary to demonstrate district's commitment to addressing the situation; prepare for live presentations by reviewing key themes and points, not drafting scripts
- Designate a spokesperson for the district generally or for the particular situation, and route all media and public inquiries to that person
- Consider judicious use of off-the-record conversations
- Appeal to reporter's sense of fair play, sensitivity to special concerns that attach to minors, students, confidential personnel matters, etc.
- Show sensitivity to reporters' deadlines and need for some kind of information or statement

AVOID PUBLIC COMMUNICATION PITFALLS

- Rushing to judgment
- "Spinning" bad news
- Adopting a defensive or adversarial posture
- ► Finger pointing
- Issuing a statement—especially one that includes declarations of outrage—prematurely
- Getting ahead of yourself or overpromising ("This won't happen again on my watch!")
- Refusing to acknowledge/respond to media/public inquiries
- Announcing an intent to impose discipline or punitive measures before all the facts are in
- Multiple "voices" speaking for the district (risking inconsistency and damage to credibility)
- Assuming a cavalier approach to social media postings

AVOID POTENTIAL LEGAL PITFALLS

- Premature (and often incorrect) identification of "wrongdoers" (defamation risk)
- Disclosure of confidential information (e.g., cases involving student discipline, employee termination, etc.)
- Public discussion of employee terminations, discipline (deprivation of "liberty interest")
- Hasty determination/declaration of guilt/responsibility (due process violation)
- Inadvertent admission of district responsibility, legal culpability
- Speculation as to cause of crisis

IS OUTSIDE HELP NEEDED?

Many public relations and crisis management firms have a corporate and/or political orientation that is not necessarily adaptable to the public education setting

Lawyers can offer useful guidance, but aren't typically practiced in crafting and drafting easily digestible public communications

An in-house media relations/public information specialist can be the best solution of all if the district can afford one